THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.V.RAMANA

Writ Petition No.15594 of 2001

Dated:08th February, 2006

Between:

Kalakurthy Venkataseshagiri Rao.

…..PETITIONER

AND

A.P. Generation Corporation Ltd.,

rep by its Director, Vidyuth Soudha,

Hyderabad & 2 others.

….RESPONDENTS

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE

N.V.RAMANA

W.P.NO.15594 of 2001

ORDER:

This writ petition questions the inaction of the respondents in not re-designating the petitioner as Foreman Grade-IV conferring the scale of pay of S.P.P.I on completion of 15 years of service and in not giving subsequent promotion as Foreman Grade-I on par with Mr.P.Krishna Kutty, Maistry Grade-I, presently Foreman Grade-IV and consequently to direct the respondents to re-designate the petitioner to the category of Foreman Grade-I on par with Mr.P.Krishna Kutty, Maistry Grade-I with all consequential benefits.

Respondents filed counter affidavit. Based on the counter it is submitted that the petitioner has made a representation on 16-6-1999 to the Chief Enginer/O&M/Srisailam/2nd respondent to consider his case for re-designation as Foreman Grade-IV and appointment to SPP-I scale and acting on the said representation the erstwhile APSEB asked the petitioner to furnish certain information to examine his case and the same is under consideration by the APGENCO as such the petitioner cannot say that his claim for re-designation as Foreman Grade-IV and promotion to the post of Foreman Grade-I was not considered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner agreed with the stand taken by the respondents in the counter affidavit that the petitioner on 16-6-1999 has filed representation and the same is pending for consideration with APGENCO and submitted that in fact in a similar matter in W.P.No.24208 of 1999, this Court directed the respondents to consider and dispose of the representation filed by the petitioner therein and prayed that this writ petition be disposed in terms thereof.

In view of the agreed stand taken by the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the respondents that the representation dated 16-6-1999 filed by the petitioner is pending before the respondents and in a similar matter in W.P.No.24208 of 1999 this Court directed the respondents to consider and dispose of the representation filed by the petitioner therein, I feel it appropriate to direct the respondents as under:

The respondents are directed to dispose of the representation dated 16-6-1999 filed by the petitioner expeditiously, and in any event, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, as recommended by the 3rd respondent in his letter dated 21-7-1999. A reasoned decision of the respondents, on the petitioner's representation, shall be communicated to the petitioner. If such a decision is already been communicated or any such decision is to be communicated by the respondents, pursuance to the orders of this Court, the petitioner, if aggrieved thereby would be at liberty to pursue appropriate remedies.

Writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

_____

(N.V. RAMANA, J.)

Date:08th February 2006.

GRK